UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/790,934	03/02/2004	Jay S. Walker	03-026	3244
22927 7590 06/01/2007 WALKER DIGITAL MANAGEMENT, LLC 2 HIGH RIDGE PARK			EXAMINER	
			DHILLON, MANJOT K	
STAMFORD, 0	.D, CT 06905		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3709	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/01/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		YY
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Comments	10/790,934	WALKER ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Malina K. Dhillon	3709
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNION (136(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONE, cause the application to become All	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status	·	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·	
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		•
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	). 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims	•	
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> <li>8)  Claim(s) 1-32 are subject to restriction and/or</li> </ul>	wn from consideration.	
Application Papers		•
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	xaminer. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documen  2. Certified copies of the priority documen  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in A prity documents have been tu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage
		·
Attachment(s)		• •
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         <ul> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul> </li> <li>Patent and Trademark Office</li> </ol>	Paper No(	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application

**Art Unit: 3709** 

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-14, 17, and 18, drawn to method for determining first and second time intervals and providing an entry into a bonus game of a gaming device based on the first and second time intervals matching, classified in class 273, subclass 143R.
- II. Claims 15 and 16, drawn to determining a reference time and a bonus game and providing entry into the bonus game in temporal proximity to the time, classified in class 273, subclass 293.
- III. Claims 19-21 drawn to directing two different gaming machines to provide an award based on a specified time, classified in class 463, subclass 40.
- IV. Claims 22-24 drawn to apportioning a payout into a first payment and a second payment, classified in class 463, subclass 25.
- V. Claims 26-31 drawn to method for using a processor for determining first and second time intervals and providing an entry into a bonus game of a gaming device based on the first and second time intervals matching, classified in class 463, subclass 25.
- VI. Claim 32, drawn to initiating play of a bonus round based on time of play including a player paying taxes to the gaming device, classified in class 463, subclass 27.

**Art Unit: 3709** 

Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because entry into a bonus game does not require a second time. The subcombination has separate utility using two separate times to enter a bonus game.

Inventions I and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as a series of gaming machines that are connected together so that each gaming machine would allow entry into bonus game at different time intervals. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions I and IV are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because providing entry into a bonus game based on time does not require apportioning a payout into two separate payouts.

Art Unit: 3709

The subcombination has separate utility such as adding an entertaining value to a slot machine by providing separate payout schemes based on the time of play.

Inventions I and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a processor. The subcombination has separate utility such using a processor in the gaming machine, which can also control other functions in a game to add entertaining value.

Inventions I and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because to initiating play of a bonus round based on time of play it does not include a player paying taxes to the gaming device. The subcombination has separate utility such as adding an entertaining value to a slot machine by charging a player taxes at the time of play.

Inventions II and III are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in

Art Unit: 3709

scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination II has separate utility such as a series of gaming machines that are connected together so that each gaming machine would allow entry into bonus game at different time intervals. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and IV are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as a series of gaming machines that are connected together so that each gaming machine would allow entry into bonus game at different time intervals. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and V are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as a series of gaming machines that are connected together so that each gaming machine would allow entry into bonus game at different time intervals. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Inventions III and VI are related as subcombinations disclosed as usable together in a single combination. The subcombinations are distinct if they do not overlap in scope and are not obvious variants, and if it is shown that at least one subcombination is separately usable. In the instant case, subcombination I has separate utility such as a series of gaming machines that are connected together so that each gaming machine would allow entry into bonus game at different time intervals. See MPEP § 806.05(d).

Art Unit: 3709

Inventions IV and V are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the combination does not require a processor. The subcombination has separate utility such using a processor in the gaming machine, which can also control other functions in a game to add entertaining value.

Inventions IV and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because to initiating play of a bonus round based on time of play it does not include a player paying taxes to the gaming device. The subcombination has separate utility such as adding an entertaining value to a slot machine by charging a player taxes at the time of play.

Inventions V and VI are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP §

Art Unit: 3709

806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because to initiating play of a bonus round based on time of play it does not include a player paying taxes to the gaming device.

The subcombination has separate utility such as adding an entertaining value to a slot machine by charging a player taxes at the time of play.

A telephone call was made to Magdalena Fincham on 4/25/07 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made since Ms. Fincham was not available.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

**Art Unit: 3709** 

## **Conclusion**

Page 8

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Malina K. Dhillon whose telephone number is (571) 270-1297. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon. - Fri., 8 AM - 5 PM, Alt Fri., EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gary Jackson can be reached on (571) 272-4697. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Malina K Dhillon Examiner Art Unit 3709

MKD 4/27/07

GARY JACKSON
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER